

ELSEVIER Journal of Alloys and Compounds 229 (1995) 265-267

On new rare-earth iridium aluminides LnlrA1

F. Hulliger

Laboratorium für Festkörperphysik ETH, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland Received 24 May 1995

Abstract

The compounds LnIrAl with $Ln = Ce \ldots Nd$, Sm, Gd... Tm, Lu, Y, were found to crystallize in the orthorhombic TiNiSi-type structure (ordered Co. Si type), whereas ScIrAl was obtained in the hexagonal $MgZn$ -type structure. Ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic ordering was observed with ordering temperatures of 7 to 67 K. Ce in CelrA1 is close to tetravalent.

Keywords: Rare-earth iridium aluminides; Magnetic susceptibility

1. Introduction

Among the ternary rare-earth transition-element 'intermetallics' LnTX with $X = AI$, Ga, In, Tl, Si, Ge, Sn, Pb, various structure types exist. (The term 'intermetallics' is a little misleading here since Si and Ge are in fact nonmetallic under normal conditions. The ternaries Ln_xT_ySi and Ln_xT_yGe are metallic, though.) Most of them are ordered derivatives of types occurring in binary phases: EuNiGe-type (mP12; ordered $CoSb₂-type$), $CeCoAl$ (mS12; $PdBi₂$), TiFeSi (oI36; Fe₂P), CeCu₂ (oI12), TiNiSi (tP12; Co₂Si), LaPtSi (tI12; ThSi₂), PbFCl (tP6; Cu₂Sb), MgZn₂ (hP12), ZrNiAl (hP9; Fe₂P), LiGaGe (hP6; CaIn₂), ZrBeSi $(hP6; Ni, In)$, AlB, $(hP3)$, MgCu, $(cF24)$, LaIrSi $(cP12;$ SrSi₂), MgAgAs (cF12; CaF₂) [1-3]. The most abundant types are TiNiSi and ZrNiA1. Usually the orthorhombic TiNiSi-type changes to the hexagonal ZrNiAl-type with increasing size of the X atoms, e.g. in the series LnPtGa, LnPtAl $(TiNiSi) \rightarrow LnPtIn$ $(ZrNiAl)$, LnNiGa $(TiNiSi) \rightarrow LnNiAl$, LnNiIn (ZrNiAI), or from low-temperature to high-temperature modifications, as in the LnPdAI series [4]. If we leave the transition-element compounds, as in LnCuX, LnAgX, LnAuX (which are related to the binaries $LnZn₂, LnCd₂, LnHg₂$ the structure of the series with the smallest X atom is the disordered $CeCu$ -type, e.g. LnAuGa $(CeCu_2) \rightarrow LnAuAl$ $(TiNiSi) \rightarrow LnAuIn$ (ZrNiA1).

YIrGa and ErlrGa [5] were known to crystallize in the TiNiSi structure, whereas for ThIrAl, ThIrGa, UIrAI and UIrGa the ZrNiA1 structure was reported [1]. Based on the regularities mentioned above, the TiNiSi structure was to be expected for the LnlrA1 compounds, although a transition to the ZrNiAl-type could not be excluded.

2. Experimental details

Polycrystalline samples of nominal composition Lnlr-AI were synthesized by reacting the constituent elements (rare-earth metals from Research Chemicals, Phoenix, nominally 99.9% grade, 99.9% iridium powder from Johnson-Matthey, London, 99.997% aluminium from Alusuisse, Neuhausen) in an argon arc furnace. The resulting buttons of about 1 g weight have a metallic lustre and are fairly brittle. Although a non-negligible weight loss was observed only in the case of SmlrA1, most samples contained traces of foreign phases. All compounds appear to melt congruently at temperatures below 1400°C.

The room temperature lattice parameters were derived from Guinier patterns taken with Cu Ka_1 radiation with silicon as internal standard (assuming $a = 5.43047$ Å at 22°C). The structure assignment was supported by intensity calculations with LAZY PULVERIX [6] using the site parameters of YbAuA1 [7] for the orthorhombic compounds. Magnetic measurements on the buttons were carried out from 4.2 K to room temperature in magnetic fields of 0.2-40 kOe. Bulk samples instead of powders were used in order to

avoid oxidation. On calculating the paramagnetic magneton numbers the unknown diamagnetic and temperature-independent paramagnetic contributions were neglected (as were contributions from other rareearth impurities, the concentration of which well may amount to 0.1%).

3. Results and discussion

The numerical results are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. All LnIrAl members with the exception of the as yet unknown EuIrAl and YbIrA1 adopt the ordered TiNiSi structure. The present investigation showed that no structure change occurs within the genuine Ln series. The drastic reduction of the atomic size on going from Lu to Sc, however, leads to the MgZn, **structure in ScIrAl. The same change in structure type is also observed in the LnNiAI and LnPdAI series [1]. One might be tempted to conclude that a higher valence is required to induce a change to the ZrNiAI** structure since ThIrAl $(Th⁴⁺$ is similar to $Gd³⁺$ in **size), UIrA1 and NpIrAl [8] all crystallize in the hexagonal structure. However, CeIrAl with nearly tetravalent Ce is comparable to ThIrA1 but still adopts the orthorhombic structure. Thus, the stability range of the ZrNiAl-type could well fall into the missing range between Lu and Sc. The ZrNiAl-type to** MgZn₂-type transition too is more influenced by size **than by valence: ZrIrA1 and HfIrA1 [1], with the same number of valence electrons as ThIrAl, crystallize in** the MgZn₂-type like ScIrAl.

The structural data are plotted vs. the 6-coordinated $Ln³⁺$ ionic radii of Shannon [9] in Fig. 1. Since in **many other Ln-T-X series deviations occurred with yttrium this was shifted correspondingly. Whereas the upturn at the La compound may be connected with off-stoichiometry, the distinct deviations of the parameters of CelrA1 indicate a tetravalent state of Ce. The anisotropy is noteworthy, though unexplained if it is not due to off-stoichiometry. The nonmagnetic configuration of the Ce atom was confirmed by the magnetic measurements. The paramagnetic susceptibility remained nearly constant between room tem-**

Table 1

Crystallographic data of the orthorhombic compounds LnlrAl, Ln = La... Nd, Sm, Gd... Tm, Lu, Y (lattice parameters a, b, c ; unit cell volume V, and X-ray density d_x ; T=295 K); TiNiSi-type, $oP12$, space group *Pnma* (No. 62), all atoms in positions 4c; and hexagonal SclrAl, MgZn₂-type, hP 12, space group $P6_3/mmc$ (No. 194); the standard deviations of the last figure, added in parentheses, refer to the statistical error **only and do not include the error due to calibration and off-stoichiometry, which may be twice** as large

Ln	$a(\AA)$	b(A)	c(A)	$V(\AA^3)$	d_{x} (g cm ⁻³)
La	7.1662(12)	4.3010(7)	8.1686(10)	251.77(11)	9.45
Ce	6.8931(4)	4.3205(2)	7.9361(4)	236.35(3)	10.10
Pr	7.0620(5)	4.2653(4)	8.0271(5)	241.79(6)	9.89
Nd	7.0327(4)	4.2542(3)	8.0081(6)	239.59(5)	10.08
Sm	6.9833(5)	4.2271(5)	7.9611(5)	235.00(7)	10.45
Gd	6.9337(6)	4.2177(4)	7.9340(6)	232.02(6)	10.78
TЬ	6.8907(4)	4.2062(3)	7.8998(5)	228.97(4)	10.97
Dy	6.8592(4)	4.1947(2)	7.8836(3)	226.83(3)	11.18
Ho	6.8344(4)	4.1878(2)	7.8754(4)	225.40(4)	11.32
Er	6.8063(5)	4.1784(3)	7.8598(5)	223.53(4)	11.48
Tm	6.7774(3)	4.1615(3)	7.8491(5)	221.38(4)	11.65
Lu	6.7398(4)	4.1590(3)	7.8350(5)	219.62(4)	11.92
Y	6.8676(3)	4.2089(2)	7.8834(4)	227.87(3)	8.98
Sc ^a	5.2875(4)		8.140(3)	197.08(9)	8.90

~Possibly off-stoichiometric.

Table 2

"Transition from **antiferromagnetic to ferromagnetic order near** 17 kOe at 4.2 K.

Fig. 1. Lattice parameters a, b, c and $d = (V/Z)^{1/3}$ plotted vs. the radii of the trivalent rare-earth ions **according to** Shannon [9], **except the** radius of **yttrium.**

perature and about 100 K and then increased by a factor of four down to liquid-helium temperature. The results of the magnetic measurements on the compounds with magnetic rare-earth atoms are given in Table 2. Although the ordering temperature is a maximum in the Gd compound, no regularity is detectable in the whole series.

Based on a three-dimensional structure-stability

diagram, Hovestreydt [3] predicted the structures of the corresponding LnlrGa compounds. Since the metallic radius of AI is only slightly larger than the Ga radius [10,11] it is not surprising that, with the exception of the Sc compound, Hovestreydt's predictions apply also to the aluminides.

Acknowledgements

I cordially thank Stefan Siegrist and Kurt Mattenberger for experimental help, Dr. Oscar Vogt for using his moving-sample magnetometer, and, last but not least, Professor H.C. Siegmann and the Swiss National Science Foundation for permanent support.

References

- [1] E Villars and L.D. Calvert, *Pearson's Handbook of Crystallographic Data for lntermetallic Phases,* ASM, Materials Park, OH, 2nd edn., 1991.
- [2] E. Parthé and B. Chabot, in K.A. Gschneidner, Jr. and L. Eyring (eds.), *Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of the Rare Earths,* Elsevier, 1984, p. 113.
- [3] E. Hovestreydt, *J. Less-Common Met., 143* (1988) 25.
- [4] F. Hulliger, *J. Alloys Comp. 218* (1995) 44.
- [5] E. Hovestreydt, N. Engel, K. Klepp, B. Chabot and E. Parthé, *J. Less-Common Met., 85* (1982) 247.
- [6] K. Yvon, W. Jeitschko and E. Parthé, *J. Appl. Cryst., 10* (1977) 73.
- [7] G. Cordier and R. Henseleit, *Z. Krist., 203* (1993) 142.
- [8] F. Wastin, J.C. Spirlet and J. Rebizant, *J. Alloys Comp., 219* (1995) 232.
- [9] R.D. Shannon, *Acta Cryst. A, 32* (1976) 751.
- [10] E.T. Teatum, K.A. Gschneidner, Jr. and J.T. Waber, *Rep. LA-4003, UC-25, TID-4500,* 1968 (Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory).
- [11] R. Ferro and A. Saccone, in R.W. Cahn, P. Haasen and E.J. Kramer (eds.), *Materials Science and Technology,* in V. Gerold (ed.), *Vol. 1: Structure of Solids,* VCH, Weinheim, 1993, p. 194.